ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ವಿ. ಕೃಷ್ಣಪ್ಪ.—ತುಮಕೂರು ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಉಳಿದಿರುವುದು ಕೇವಲ 270 ಎಕರೆ ಜಮೀನು, ಇದುವರೆಗೆ 333 ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರಿಗೆ ಆರುನೂರು ಚಿಲ್ಲರೆ ಎಕರೆ ಜಮೀನನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ, ಎಂದರೆ ನರಾನರಿ 2 ಎಕರೆಯಂತೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಲಕ್ಕಪ್ಪ.—175 ಜನರ ಅರ್ಜಿಗಳು ಎಷ್ಟು ದಿವಸಗಳಿಂದ ಪೆಂಡಿಗ್ ಆಗಿವೆ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ವಿ. ಕೃಷ್ಣಪ್ಪ.—1962 ರಿಂದೀಚೆಗೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಅರ್ಜಿಗಳೇ ಇರುವುದು, ಅದಕ್ಕಿಂತ ಹಿಂದಿನವಿವಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಲಕ್ಕಪ್ಪ.—ಉಳಿದವರಿಗೆ ಬೇಗ ಸಾಗುವಳಿ ಚೀಟಿ ಕೊಡುತ್ತೀರ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ವಿ. ಕೃಷ್ಣಪ್ಪ.—ಉಳಿದಿರುವುದು ಕೇವಲ ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ಜಮೀನು, ಅದನ್ನೂ ಕೊಟ್ಟರೆ ನಮ್ಮ ಮಕ್ಕಳ ಕಾಲಕ್ಕೆ ಏನಾದರೂ ಉಳಿಯುತ್ತದೆಯೆ ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ನೋಡಬೇಕು.

Grant of two advance increments to Teachers in Ex-Bombay State who obtained M.Ed. degree.

*Q.—336. Sri B. P. KADAM (Karwar).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) whether it is a fact that in the Ex-Bombay State, two advance increments were given to those who obtained M.Ed. degree at their own cost as an incentive and in recognition of higher education;

(b) whether it is a fact that after the reorganisation of States, Government while revising pay scales of all Government employees have deleted the provision for advance increments for those holding the M.Ed. degrees in the Education Department;

(c) whether it is not a fact that during the second revision of pay scales also the matter was not considered and as a result those holding the M.Ed. degrees are treated on par with the B.Ed. degree holders;

(d) whether it is not a fact that almost all the M.Ed. degree holders promoted to class I and II higher grade graduate teachers cadre, have enjoyed this benefit of two advance increments;

(e) whether it is not a fact that the M.Ed. degree holders in the Lower Grade Graduate Teachers' Cadre had represented to Government to sanction the two increments?

A.—Sri S. R. KANTHI (Minister for Education).—

(a) Yes.

(b) Yes.

(c) Yes.

(d) Only those who passed the M.Ed. degree in Ex-Bombay area, before reorganisation were granted two advance increments by erstwhile Bombay Government.

(e) Yes.

Sri B. P. KADAM.—With reference to supplementary to (e) may I know what is the decision taken on the representations?

†Sri S. R. KANTHI.—The Government is still considering the entire question.

† Indicates that the remarks or speeches have not been revised by the member concerned.

Sri B. P. KADAM.—May I know how long it will take to come to a decision ?

Sri S. R. KANTHI.—There is not one representation but many. So it is not possible to give the exact date on which a decision could be taken. This question is being considered by the Government for some time past and I hope we will be able to come to some decision soon.

Sri G. V. GOWDA.—May I know how long the Government is going to delay this matter ?

Sri S. R. KANTHI.—The hon'ble member is looking at this from the point of view of Bombay Karnatak only. But this has to be looked into from the view point of the entire State. We cannot give promotions to one set of persons in Bombay Karnatak and deny the same to others. So, the question has to be looked at from the point of view of the entire State.

Sri G. V. GOWDA.—Were not these M.Ed. degree holders enjoying the benefit of two increments in the Bombay Government ? If so, by stopping it, are not the Government contravening the protection given to them under the S.R.C. Act ?

Sri S. R. KANTHI.—That is a matter of opinion. This question has been examined by the Government. They can stop the increment because it is only a question relating to Bombay-Karnatak.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Is it the opinion of the hon'ble member that stopping of increments contravenes the provisions of the S. R. Act ?

Sri G. V. GOWDA.—Sir, the S. R. Act protects the salaries of the officers and nothing can be done to stop those benefits.

Sri S. R. KANTHI.—Sir, those persons who graduated themselves as M.Ed. at their own cost got two increments and they are now enjoying them because they come from the Bombay Karnatak area. What the hon'ble member wants to know is whether those persons who get M.Ed. after the States Reorganisation would also get it. This point is being pressed. That is not possible.

Sri G. V. GOWDA.—May I know whether the increments that were sanctioned prior to reorganisation have been absorbed ?

Sri S. R. KANTHI.—Those persons who got increments in the ex-Bombay State are continuing to get them.

Sri B. R. SUNTHANKAR.—May I know why the provision for advance increment for qualifying in M.Ed. was deleted while revising the scales of pay ? If it continues it would certainly help to improve the teachings ?

Sri S. R. KANTHI.—The point is clear. It is not correct that. One set of M.Eds. can have the benefit and another cannot have it.

Sri B. P. KADAM.—Is not this principle accepted as good in the Maharashtra State. Is it not good for this State also ?

Sri S. R. KANTHI.—That is a matter of opinion.